

Student Satisfaction Survey Key Indicator - 2.7.1

Under Criterion II of Teaching – Learning and Evaluation



2022 - 2023

Table of Contents

1.	Student Satisfaction Survey(SSS)	Page No
	Questionnaire	4
	Summary of the Survey	8
2.	Analysis of the Student Satisfaction Survey	
	Teaching – Learning and Evaluation	9
3.	Action Taken Report (Sample)	12

Student Satisfaction Survey is conducted every year to know about the students' perception about Teaching – Learning and Evaluation, which will help to upgrade the quality of process followed in our institution.

Students are randomly selected for the survey by system. Only that particular student who was chosen randomly will get the Questionnaire. The students will remain anonymous throughout the survey process.

In SSS there would be twenty-one questions all over and out of which, twenty questions would be objective type and one question provides opportunity for the students to give their observations / suggestions in a descriptive way to improve the overall teaching – learning experience in our institution.

The questionnaire covers a wide array of skills involved in the teaching process which vary from teaching skills of the teacher, technical knowledge, effectiveness of communication, preparedness, doubt clearing skills and use of ICT, to overall approach to the educational process like motivation, interpersonal relationships, feedback, dynamically modifying teaching methodology to help weak students etc.,

The Questionnaire is based on the likert scale on a graded marking system. The students' response is based on a scale 0 to 4. Highest positive response is rated as 4 and the lowest negative response is rated as 0. This removes the binary forced approach and provides a more comfortable wider range for students to register their opinion.

The email ids of all the students in the institution currently pursuing the degree was acquired for all the UG and PG programme. This was around 5400 in number. Out of this entire set a subset of around 3000 students was chosen randomly by the system anonymously to maintain objectivity in the entire process. Questionnaire were sent in Google forms through the link https://forms.gle/XpXSZvBuV4cTbrXS8 to that mail ids selected randomly. This fetched response from 1286 students was recorded and performance of stakeholders were analyzed with regards to the feedback provided.

End analysis of the survey is done using software which will aggregate the response and generate the score of student satisfaction survey. A robust mechanism is followed by this software so as to follow a holistic unambiguous approach towards quantifying the student feedback in a comprehensively inferable manner.

Inference from the feedback is analyzed thoroughly by the appellate authorities and corrective measures are implemented.







Questionnaire

National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) Student Satisfaction Survey Key Indicator - 2.7.1

Under Criterion II of Teaching – Learning and Evaluation



Guidelines for Students

St.Joseph's College of Engineering is conducting a Student Satisfaction Survey regarding Teaching – Learning and Evaluation, which will help to upgrade the quality in higher education. A student will have to respond to all the questions given in the following format with her/his sincere effort and thought. Her/his identity will not be revealed.

A) Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey.

	a)	Yes		b) No				
B) Age:			C) College	Name:				
D) Gend	er:	a) Fem	ale 🗆 b) N	Male		c) Trai	nsgender	
a) Bacl	nelor's	am are you b) M e) C		ow?)	c) MPhil	
a) Arts		b) (ently pursu Commerce e) Othe	C])	c) Science	

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

Student Satisfaction Survey on Teaching Learning Process

1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? $4 - 85$ to 100% $3 - 70$ to 84%	2 – 55 to 69%	1–30 to 54%
2.	How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? 4–Thoroughly 3–Satisfactorily 2–Poorly 1–Indi	ifferently 0 – Wo	on't teach at all
3.	How well were the teachers able to communicate?	1	
	4–Always 3–Sometimes 2–Just effective effective satisfactorily	1–Generally ineffective	0–Verypoor communication
4.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as 4– Excellent 3 – Very good 2 – Good	1 – Fair	0– Poor
5.	Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. 4 – Always fair 3 – Usually fair 2 – Sometimes unfair	Usually unfai	r 0– Unfair
6.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? 4 – Every time 3 – Usually 2– Occasionally/Someti	1 – Rarely	0– Never
7.	The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, so field visit opportunities for students. $2 - $ Sometimes	student exchange, 1 – Rarely	0– Never
8.	The teaching and mentoring process in your institution factors cognitive, social and emotional growth.	-	0– Not at all
9.	The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and 4–Strongly 3 – Agree 2 – Neutral agree	•	0– Strongly disagree
10.	Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, or programme outcomes	1 – Rarely	ıd 0– Never

St.Joseph's College of Engineering Student Satisfaction Survey 2022 - 2023

11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. 4 - Every time 3 - Usually 2 - 1 - Rarely Occasionally/Sometimes 0 - I don't have a mentor
12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. 4 – Every time 3 – Usually 2 – 1 – Rarely 0– Never Occasionally/Sometimes
13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level
of challenges4 - Fully3 - Reasonably2 - Partially1 - Slightly0 - Unable
 14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. 4 – Every time 3 – Usually 2 – 1 – Rarely 0– Never Occasionally/Sometimes
15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and
continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. 4 – Strongly 3–Agree 2–Neutral 1–Disagree 0–Strongly agree disagree
16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing
learning experiences. $4 - \text{To a great } 3 - \text{Moderate}$ $2 - \text{Some what}$ $1 - \text{Very little}$ $0 - \text{Not at all disagree}$ extent $d = 1 - \text{Very little}$ $0 - \text{Not at all disagree}$
17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.
4 – Strongly 3–Agree 2–Neutral 1–Disagree 0–Strongly disagree
18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability
4 – To a great 3 – Moderate 2 – Neutral $1 - Very little 0 - Not at all extent$

- 19. What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.
 4 Above 90% 3 70 89% 2 50 69% 1 30 49% 0 Below 29%
- 21. Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching learning experience in your institution.

a)

b)

Summary of the Survey

Sl.No	Name of the Programme	No .of. Students Participated
1.	B.Tech-Artificial Intelligence And Data Science	68
2.	B.Tech-Artifical Intelligence And Machine Learning Engineering	58
3.	B.Tech. – Biotechnology	55
4.	B.E Chemical Engineering	43
5.	B.E Civil Engineering	21
6.	B.E Computer Science and Engineering	178
7.	B.E Electrical and Electronics Engineering	164
8.	B.E Electronics and Communication Engineering	153
9.	B.E Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering	20
10.	B.Tech Information Technology	176
11.	B.E Instrumentation and Control Engineering	12
12.	B.E Mechanical Engineering	179
13.	M.E Manufacturing Engineering	1
14.	M.E Applied Electronics	1
15.	M.E Computer Science and Engineering	2
16.	M.E Power Electronics and Drives	1
17.	M.Tech - Biotechnology	1
18.	Masters of Business Administration	123
19.	MBA - Integrated	30


Programme wise student participation in the survey


St.Joseph's College of Engineering Student Satisfaction Survey 2022 - 2023

Teaching – Learning and Evaluation

Sl.No	Description	Average
1	Syllabus covered in the class	3.95
2	Teachers preparation for the classes	
3	Teachers communication	3.92
4	Teaching approach	3.78
5	Internal evaluation process by the teachers	3.42
6	Discussion of Students performance in assignments	3.88
7	Internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities	3.75
8	Teaching and mentoring process to facilitates cognitive, social and emotional growth	3.47
9	Multiple opportunities to learn and grow	3.91
10	Information about expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes	3.49
11	Mentor follow-up with an assigned task to students	3.83
12	Teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	3.89
13	Teachers identify student strengths and encourage students with providing right level of challenges	3.76
14	Teachers are able to identify students' weaknesses and help students to overcome them	3.53
15	Efforts taken to Students in monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.	3.57

16	The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem-solving methodologies for	
	enhancing learning experiences.	3.85
17	Teachers encourage students to participate in extracurricular activities	3.36
18	Efforts made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make students ready for the world of work	3.98
19	Percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.	3.67
20	The overall quality of teaching-learning process in institute	3.89





Action Taken Report (Sample)

Sl.No	Feedback/Verbatim Response	Action Taken
1	Need to give training in current technologies	Placement Empowerment Programs are conducted from second year, in the current technology domains.
2	To support Entrepreneur	Incubation centres are started to encouraging entrepreneur
3	Outside exposure improved	Encouraged to participate the events like Hackathon, Symposium, Workshops, etc.
4	Online learning need to encouraged	Multiple paid and free online platforms are given to students to increase the online learning.

Dr. VADDI SESHAGIRI RAO, M.E., M.B.A., Ph.D. PRINCIPAL St. JOSEPH'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING (AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION) OLD MAMALLAPURAM ROAD, CHENNAI-600 119.